

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

United States Patent and Trademark Diffice Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PACEAUTION, 2014 PACEAUTI

				_ \ /
APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/660,387	09/11/2003	Lynfel James Owen	81044379	6936
22844	7590 01/20/2004		EXAMINER	
FORD GLOBAL TECHNOLOGIES, LLC.			MAUST, TIMOTHY LEWIS	
SUITE 600 -	PARKLANE TOWERS	EAST		
ONE PARKI	ARKLANE BLVD.		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
DEARBORN, MI 48126			3751	
			DATE MAILED: 01/20/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

9		_				
	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/660,387	OWEN, LYNFEL JAMES				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Timothy L. Maust	3751				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  If the period for reply specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133),  Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>11 September 2003</u> .						
2a)☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)⊠ This	action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) 1-12 is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s)is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-12</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10)  The drawing(s) filed on 9 is/are: a)  accepted	10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on 9 is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected to by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
12)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of: 1.⊠ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application)						
since a specific reference was included in the first sentence of the specification or in an Application Data Sheet.						
37 CFR 1.78.						
<ul> <li>a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.</li> <li>14)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121 since a specific</li> </ul>						
reference was included in the first sentence of the specification or in an Application Data Sheet. 37 CFR 1.78.						
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)		ry (PTO-413) Paper No(s)				
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 1/		Patent Application (PTO-152)				
1 0) Est mismalion processor oracomoni(s) (FTO-1445) Faper No(s) 1/						

Application/Control Number: 10/660,387

Art Unit: 3751

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

#### Specification

The abstract of the disclosure is objected to because the title should be deleted. Correction is required. See MPEP § 608.01(b).

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1-3 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Thorn et al.

In regard to claim 1, the Thorn et al. reference discloses a "motor vehicle fuel system" 2 comprising: a "fuel tank" 4 having an "inlet duct" 14 with an "upper end" (unlabeled) and a "lower end" 6 and a "porous flexible sock" 20 attached to the lower end, as claimed.

In regard to claim 2, see "open end" 22 and Figures 4 and 6.

In regard to claim 3, see Figure 1, 5 and 7.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and

Application/Control Number: 10/660,387

Art Unit: 3751

the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 4 and 5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Thorn et al.

The Thorn et al. reference discloses the invention substantially as claimed, but does not disclose the porosity of the filtration being in the range of 20 to 80 micron. However, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide a porous material having a porosity in a range of 20 to 80 micron, since it has been held that where the general conditions of a claim are disclosed in the prior art, discovering the optimum or workable ranges involves only routine skill in the art. *In re Aller*, 105

Claims 6-12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Thorn et al. in view of Bergsma et al.

The Thorn et al. reference discloses the invention substantially as claimed (discussed supra), but does not disclose a "connector" having a "check valve", a "flap", a "spring", a "support cage", a "solid first portion" and a "second window portion".

However, the Bergsma et al. reference discloses another motor vehicle fuel system having a "connector" containing a "check valve" 24, a "flap" 24j, a "spring" 24k, a "support cage" 24e, a "solid first portion" 24b and a "second window portion" 24f to provide a reliable, immediate check against spitback from the tank pressure accumulated for the shutoff function and maintains a low enough back pressure to avoid premature shutoff of the filler nozzle. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one

having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the Thorn et al. fuel system to have a connector (discussed supra) in view of the teachings of the Bergsma et al. reference in order to provide a reliable, immediate check against spitback from the tank pressure accumulated for the shutoff function and maintains a low enough back pressure to avoid premature shutoff of the filler nozzle.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Timothy L. Maust whose telephone number is (703) 308-3390. The examiner can normally be reached on Tues. - Fri. 6:30 AM - 5:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Gregory Huson can be reached on (703) 308-2580. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9302.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0975.

Timothy L. Maust Primary Examiner Art Unit 3751

Tlm 1/15/04